

Is the car.ent loaninistic Party "line" as to infiltration and control of liberal groups available for use by liberals jenuinally interpoted in improving conditions for the underprivileged, to compare group acts within the unitarian lervice Committee, Inc., which seem to be directing the organization so as to give assistance to those who would destroy our form of jovernment?

I have recently joined the organization, and it appears a well-directed minority is injecting the SP line into it in a subtle and frightening manner.

medent examples are the "Black Uniterian Caucus" proposals formulated October on a in new York at <u>Amergency Conference on the Uniterian messonse to the Black Rebellion</u>, held in Biltmore Motel under auspice of Uniterian Universalist Commission on Religion and Mace, as reported in Messond, Fall 1967, Vol I, No. 3 and the saverse stand taken by organization leaders as to our Viet Mahm policy, contrary to mambership majority opinion.

enjection of Viet when, draft evasion, and draft card burning into the plight of the underprivileged can have only one source: the Communistic Farty. Combining genuinely—needed social reforms and social improvement items with impediments to our fight for survival as a nation a apparently a pattern to entrap as use the idealism in our group, turning honest and sincere effort into a weapon cimed at our country's destruction.

I, not clone in the Unitarian Universalist Organization, do not want to be adupe for the CP. Sow to combat the Cparent Initiation is, honever, a problem.

hope to be able to offer "points of comparison" of certain acts (if they agree, as I feel they must, with authentic and current instructions from the CP.

This is way I have asked for the current CP line, if you can release information on the subject.

The set 9:988 gran policy ours truly, as Brown Training by the MARI 9:1988 gran was the way of the minutes of the many of the